ADDRESSES: Written comments should be submitted to: Superintendent, Olympic National Park, 600 East Park Avenue, Port Angeles, WA 93362.

The first public meeting will be at the Jackson Federal Building, 915 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington, from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. on Wednesday, 3 May 1995, in the 4th Floor North Auditorium (enter the building from First Avenue). The second meeting will be at the Vern Burton Community Center, 308 East Fourth Street, Port Angeles, Washington, from 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. on Thursday, 4 May 1995.

Public reading copies of the DEIS will be available for review at the following locations:

Office of Public Affairs, National Park Service, 1849 C. St., NW., Washington, D.C.

Pacific Northwest Regional Office, National Park Service, 909 First Avenue, Seattle, Washington

Alaska Regional Office, National Park Service, 2525 Gambell St., Anchorage, Alaska

Mid-Atlantic Regional Office, National Park Service, 143 South Third St., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Midwest Regional Office, National Park Service, 1709 Jackson St., Omaha, Nebraska

North Atlantic Regional Office, National Park Service, 15 State St., Boston, Massachusetts

Rocky Mountain Regional Office, National Park Service, 12795 West Alameda Parkway, Denver, Colorado

Southeast Regional Office, National Park Service, 75 Spring St., SW., Atlanta, Georgia

Southwest Regional Office, National Park Service, 1100 Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe, New Mexico

Western Regional Office, National Park Service, 600 Hrrison St., Suite 600, San Francisco, California

Olympbic National Forest Headquarters, U.S. Forest Service, 1835 Black Lake Blvd., SW., Olympia, Washington

Pacific Northwest Regional Office, U.S. Forest Service, 333 SW 1st Ave., Portland, Oregon

A limited number of copies of the DEIS are available on request from the Superintendent, Olympic National Park, at the above address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Mountain goats are not native to Washington's Olympic Peninsula, but were introduced there in the 1920's apparently to develop a population for hunting. Olympic National Park was established in 1938 and hunting was subsequently prohibited on park lands. The introduced goat population grew in size and dispersed throughout suitable

areas of the peninsula, with most concentrating within the National Park. By 1983, the goat population on the peninsula was estimated to be approximately 1,175± 171 (Standard Error). During the 1980's, Olympic National Park staff conducted experimental and operational management programs to reduce goat populations using translocation and reproductive control methods. A census conducted in 1990 documented a population of 389± 106 (Standard Error). Results from an additional census in 1994 showed no statistical difference from the 1990 results. The non-native goats are causing significant impacts to native ecosystem processes and components within Olympic National Park. Documented goat impacts on vegetation include changes in dominance and competitive relationships between plant species which alter the relative abundance of species in native communities. Goats directly and indirectly alter plant communities through changes in plant structure, reproductive patterns, growth rates, and seedling establishment. Threats to 33 known rare and/or endemic plant taxa from goat trampling, wallowing, and grazing include risks to individual plants, subpopulations, and populations. The Olympic Mt. milkvetch (Astragalus australis var. olympicus) is proposed for listing by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as threatened or endangered and is listed as threatened by the Washington Natural Heritage Program. The world's entire known population of this species contains only 3,800-4,000 plants, all of which are within goat habitat of the Olympic Mountains. Soil impacts from goats include wallows and trailing.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement describes and analyzes three alternatives for future management of mountain goats within Olympic National Park. Alternative 1 (the proposed action) is the National Park Service's preferred alternative. This alternative proposes elimination of mountain goats from the park by shooting from helicopters. Ecosystem impacts from goats would cease in approximately three years. Alternative 2 (the no-action alternative) identifies no active management of the park's mountain goats, other than monitoring. The goat population would likely increase to approximately 1,400 animals and impacts to native ecosystems would increase dramatically. Alternative 3 is similar to Alternative 1 except that it allows for a short-term, live-capture program before elimination by shooting.

Ecosystem impacts from goats would cease in approximately four years.

Dated: March 10, 1995.

William C. Walters,

Acting Regional Director, Pacific Northwest Region, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 95–6963 Filed 3–20–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before March 11, 1995. Pursuant to § 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, D.C. 20013–7127. Written comments should be submitted by April 5, 1995.

Carol D. Shull,

Chief of Registration, National Register.

ARKANSAS

Mississippi County

Herman Davis Memorial, Jct. of Baltimore Ave. and AR 18, NE corner, Manila, 95000379

Pulaski County

Barlow Apartments (Little Rock Apartment Buildings MPS), 2115 Scott St., Little Rock, 95000376

Holcomb Court Apartments (Little Rock Apartment Buildings MPS), 2201 Main St., Little Rock, 95000377

Luxor Apartments (Little Rock Apartment Buildings MPS), 1923 Main St., Little Rock, 95000375

South Main Street Apartments Historic District (Little Rock Apartment Buildings MPS), 2209–2213 Main St., Little Rock, 95000378

CALIFORNIA

Los Angeles County

Palos Verdes Public Library and Art Gallery, 2400 Via Campesina, Palos Verdes Estates, 95000388

San Diego County

Rosicrucian Fellowship Temple, 2222 Mission Ave., Oceanside, 95000390

San Mateo County

Sequoia Union High School, 1201 Brewster Ave., Redwood City, 95000389

FLORIDA

Suwannee County

Allison, George House, 418 W. Duval St., Live Oak, 95000369

IOWA

Cedar County

West Branch Commercial Historic District (Boundary Increase), 124 W. Main St., West Branch, 95000386

Floyd County

Lane, Lucius and Maria Clinton, House, 2379 Timber Ave., Charles City vicinity, 95000384

Jackson County

Squiers, J.E., House (Maquoketa MPS), 418 W. Pleasant St., Maquoketa, 95000385

LOUISIANA

Rapides Parish

Carnahan House, 212 Ulster Ave., Boyce, 95000373

St. Landry Parish

Wier House, 310 E. Bellevue St. Opelousas, 95000368

West Baton Rouge Parish

Smithfield Plantation House, 12445 N River Rd., Port Allen vicinity, 95000387

MICHIGAN

Charlevoix County

Horton Bay House—Red Fox Inn, 05156 Boyne City Rd., Bay Township, Horton Bay, 95000372

Sanilac County

Matthews, Thomas and Margaret Spencer, Farm, 5916 E. Gardner Line Rd., Worth Township, Amador vicinity, 95000371

MISSOURI

Hickory County

Quincy Public Hall, MO 83, Quincy, 95000370

MONTANA

Carbon County

Kent Dairy Round Barn, US 212, 2 mi. N of Red Lodge, Red Lodge vicinity, 95000381

Lewis and Clark County

Power, C.B., Bungalow, 1.2 mi. N of I–15 and 1 mi. W of US 287, Wolf Creek vicinity, 95000380

Stearns Hall, 2 mi. N of jct. of MT 200 and Hwy. 434, Wolf Creek vicinity, 95000382

Petroleum County

Winnett School, Address unavailable, Winnett, 95000383

TEXAS

Presidio County

El Fortin del Cibolo Historic District (Historic Resources Associated with Milton Faver, Agriculturist, MPS), Approximately 4 mi. NW of Shafter, W of US 67, Shafter vicinity, 95000366

La Morita Historic District (Historic Resources Associated with Milton Faver, Agriculturist, MPS), Approximately 5 mi. SW of Shafter, E of US 67, Shafter vicinity, 95000367

VERMONT

Windham County

Green River Crib Dam, Green River Rd. (Town Hwy. # 5), Guilford, 95000374

VIRGINIA

Clarke County

Josephine City School, 301–A Josephine St., Berryville, 95000397

Prince Edward County

Buffalo Presbyterian Church, VA 659, 0.3 mi. S of jct. with VA 658, Pamplin vicinity, 95000395

Rockbridge County

Rockbridge Inn, Valley Rd., N side, at jct. with VA 743, Natural Bridge vicinity, 95000398

Sussex County

Hunting Quarter, VA 632, S of jct. with VA 608, Haverly vicinity, 95000396

Suffolk Independent City

Chuckatuck Historic District, Jct. of VA 10/32 and VA 125, Suffolk (Independent City), 95000393

Driver Historic District, Jct. of VA 125 and VA 629, Suffolk (Independent City), 95000394

Whaleyville Historic District, Ict. of US 13 and VA 616, Suffolk (Independent City), 95000392

[FR Doc. 95–6856 Filed 3–20–95; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-365]

Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337 and Schedule for the Filing of Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

In the Matter of: Certain Audible Alarm Devices for Divers.

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the initial determination (ID) issued on February 2, 1995, by the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) in the above-captioned investigation finding a violation of section 337 in the importation and sale of certain audible alarm devices for divers.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rhonda M. Hughes, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–3083. Copies of the nonconfidential version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S.

International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202–205–3000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 8. 1994, the Commission instituted an investigation of a complaint filed by David A. Hancock and Ideations Design Inc. under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930. The complaint alleged that Duton Industry Co., Ltd. (Duton) of Taipei, Taiwan and IHK International Corp. (IHK) of Torrance, California had imported, sold for importation, and sold within the United States after importation certain audible alarm devices for divers by reason of infringement of claim 6 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,950,107 (the '107 patent) and claim 1 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,106,236 (the '236 patent). The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Duton and IHK, each of which was alleged to have committed one or more unfair acts in the importation or sale of audible alarm devices for divers that infringe the asserted patent claims.

The ALJ conducted an evidentiary hearing commencing on October 11, 1994, and issued her final ID on February 2, 1995. She found that: (1) claim 6 of the '107 patent and claim 1 of the '236 patent are valid and enforceable; (2) there is a domestic industry manufacturing and selling products protected by these two claims; (3) respondent IHK has imported products that infringe claim 6 of the 107 patent and claim 1 of the '236 patent, and respondent Duton has exported to the United States products that infringe claim 6 of the '107 patent and claim 1 of the '236 patent. Based upon her findings of validity, infringement, and domestic industry, the ALJ concluded that there was a violation of section 337.

No petitions for review of the ID were filed and, consequently, no responses thereto were filed. No government comments on the ID were received by the Commission.

In connection with final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue (1) an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written